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#### Abstract

- This paper is concerned an analytical method of magneto thermodynamics stress in a finite hollow cylinders under a sudden temperature change in magnetic field. The interaction between deformation and magnetic field in a hollow cylinder is considered by adding a Lorentz electro magneto force into the equation of thermo elastic motion of a hollow cylinder in an axial magnetic field. Utilizing finite integral transforms one can solve the equation of magneto thermo elastic motion and obtain the analytical expressions for the time response of magneto thermodynamics stress for a finite hollow cylinder
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## Introduction:

$\mathbf{I}_{\mathrm{n}}$this paper, an attempt has been made to determine the magneto thermo stresses in a finite hollow cylinder under a sudden temperature change in a uniform magnetic field with boundary conditions, by using the Hankel transform and Laplace transform techniques.

## Nomenclature

$T(r, t)$ - Temperature charge (absolute temperature minus reference temperature).
$\tilde{U}$ - Displacement vector
$U$ - Radial displacement
$\sigma_{r}, \sigma_{0}$ - Radial stress and circumferential stress.
$\rho, t, a, b$ - Density, time and internal and external radii of a hollow cylinder coefficient of linear thermal expansion.
$\lambda, G$ - Lame constants
$E, v$ - Young's modulus and Poisson's ratio
$\mu$ - Magnetic permeability
$\tilde{H}$ - Magnetic intensity vector ${ }_{o, o,} H_{2}$
$\tilde{e}-$ Perturbation of electric field vector.
$\tilde{h}$ - Perturbation of magnetic field vector ( $o, o, h z$ )
$C_{1}$ - Elastic wave speed
$C_{2}$ - Magnetic interference wave speed
$C_{L}$ - Magneto thermo elastic wave speed
$D-(r-a)(b-a)$
$\tau-\left(t C_{L}\right) /(b-a)$
$\sigma_{r}^{*}-\sigma_{r} /(\alpha E T(r, t))$
$\sigma_{\theta}^{*}-\sigma_{\theta} /(\alpha E T(r, t))$
$h_{z}^{*}-h_{z}\left(\alpha H_{2} T(r, t)\right)$

## Statement Of The Problem

Consider a long hollow cylinder with perfect conductivity placed initially in an axial magnetic field $\tilde{H}\left(o, o, H_{z}\right)$. Let this hollow cylinder be subjected to a rapid change in temperature $T(r, t)$ produced by the absorption of an electromagnetic pulse or $\gamma$-ray pulse radiant energy. Assuming that the magnetic permeability $\mu$ of the hollow cylinder equals the magnetic permeability of the medium around it, and omitting displacement electric current, the governing electro dynamic Maxwell equations for a perfectly conducting, elastic body are given by
$\tilde{J}=\operatorname{curl} \tilde{h}, \quad-\frac{\mu \partial \tilde{h}}{\partial t}=\operatorname{curl} \tilde{e}, \operatorname{div} \tilde{h}=0, \tilde{e}=\mu\left(\frac{\partial \tilde{U}}{\partial t} x \tilde{H}\right)(1)$

Applying an initial magnetic field vector $\tilde{H}\left(o, o, H_{z}\right)$ in cylindrical polar co-ordinate $(r, \theta, z)$ to Eq. (1) we have
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$\tilde{U}(u c r, t), 0,0), \quad \tilde{e}=\mu\left(o, H_{z} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, o\right), \quad \tilde{h}=\left(o, o, h_{z}\right)$,

$$
\tilde{J}=\left(o, \frac{\partial h_{z}}{\partial r}, o\right), h_{z}=-H_{z}\left(\frac{\partial r}{\partial u}+\frac{u}{r}\right)
$$

From Eqs. (1), (2) and (3) the magneto elastic dynamic equation of the hollow cylinder become

$$
\frac{\partial \sigma_{r}}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r}\left(\sigma_{r}-\sigma_{\theta}\right)+f_{r}=p \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}
$$

Where $f_{r}$ is defined as
$f_{r}=\mu(\tilde{J} \times \tilde{H})=\mu H_{z}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}+\frac{u}{r}\right)$
The radial stress and the circumferential stress of a hollow cylinder subjected to a thermal shock load $T(r, t)$ are
$\sigma_{v}(v, t)=(\lambda+2 G) \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}+\frac{\lambda u}{r}-\frac{E \propto}{1-2 v} T(r, t)$
$\sigma_{\theta}(v, t)=(\lambda+2 G) \frac{u}{R}+\lambda \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}-\frac{E \propto}{1-2 v} T(r, t)$
Substituting eqs (5), (6) and (7) into eq. (4), the basic displacement equation of magneto the inelastic motion may be expressed as
$\frac{\partial^{2} u(r, t)}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u(r, t)}{\partial r}-\frac{1}{r^{2}} u(r, t)$
$=\frac{1}{C_{L}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} u(r, t)}{\partial t^{2}}+\frac{E \propto}{(1-2 v) \beta} \frac{\partial \tau(r, t)}{\partial r} a \leq r \leq b, \quad t \geq 0$
Omitting the Maxwell tensor on the surface of the hollow cylinder, the corresponding boundary conditions are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{r}(a, t)=\left[(\lambda+2 G) \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}+\frac{\lambda u}{r}-\frac{E \propto}{1-2 v} T(r, t)\right]_{r=a}=0 \\
& \sigma_{r}(b, t)=\left[(\lambda+2 G) \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}+\frac{\lambda u}{r}-\frac{E \propto}{1-2 v} T(r, t)\right]_{r=b}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

The initial conditions are
$u(r, 0)=0, \frac{\partial u(r, 0)}{\partial t}=0$

## Solution Of The Problem

Assume that the general solution to the Eqs. (8, 9, 10, 11) may be expressed in the form

$$
u(r, t)=u_{t}(r, t)+u d(r, t)
$$

Where $u_{s}(r, t)$ and $u_{d}(r, t)$ are respegtively, the static and dynamic solutions to Eq. (8), (9), (10) and (11). The static solution $u_{s}(r, t)$ must $(3)$ atisfy Eq. (13) and the corresponding inhomogeneous boundary conditions (9) and (10) are
$\frac{\partial^{2} u s(v, t)}{\partial v^{2}}+\frac{1}{v} \frac{\partial u s(v, t)}{\partial v}-\frac{1}{v} u^{2} s(v, t)=\frac{E \propto}{(1-t y) \beta} \frac{\partial \tau(v, t)}{\partial v}$
$(\lambda+2 G) \frac{\partial u s(a, t)}{\partial v}+\frac{\lambda}{a} u s(a, t)=\frac{E \propto}{(1-t v)} \tau(a, t)$
$(\lambda+2 G) \frac{\partial u s(b, t)}{\partial v}+\frac{\lambda}{b} u s(b, t)=\frac{E \propto(5)}{(1-2 v)} \tau(b, t)$
Solving Eq. (13) we have
$u_{s}(v, t)=\frac{E \propto}{(1-t v) B v} \int_{a}^{v} v T(v, t) d v+B_{1} v+\frac{B_{t}}{v}$
From Eqs (14) and (15) the unknown (6) stants $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ in Eq. (16) may be determined as

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{1}=\frac{E \propto}{B\left(b^{t}-a^{t}\right)} \int_{a}^{b} v T(v, t) d v  \tag{7}\\
& B_{2}=\frac{E \propto a^{2}}{B(1-2 v)\left(b^{2}-a^{2}\right) b} \int_{a}^{b} v T(v, t) d v
\end{align*}
$$

The dynamic solution, $u d(v, t)$, can be found from Eqs (8) to (15).
This solution should satisfy the(8) following inhomogeneous equation (19), the corresponding homogeneous boundary conditions (20) and (21), and the initial condition (21).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial^{2} u d(v, t)}{\partial v^{2}}+\frac{1}{v} \frac{\partial u d(v, t)}{\partial v}-\frac{1}{v^{2}} u d(v, t)=\frac{1}{C_{L}^{2}}\left[\frac{\partial^{2} u d(v, t)}{\partial t^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u_{s}(v, t)}{\partial t^{2}}\right] \\
& (\lambda+2 G) \frac{\partial u d(a, t)}{\partial v}+\frac{\lambda}{a} u d(a, t)=0 \\
& (\lambda+2 G) \frac{\partial u d(b, t)}{\partial v}+\frac{\lambda}{b} u d(b, t)=0  \tag{10}\\
& u d(v, 0)=-u_{s}(v, 0)=u_{0}  \tag{11}\\
& \frac{\partial u d(v, t)}{\partial t}=-\frac{\partial u_{s}(v, 0)}{\partial t}=v_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $u_{s}(v, t)$ is the known static solution shown in Eq. (16) the solution of the homogeneous formula of Eq. (19), assuming $u_{s}(v, t)=0$ is giper ${ }^{n}$ by
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$$
u d_{0}(v, t)=g(v) \exp (i w t)
$$

Where $g(v)$ and w are the characteristic function and natural frequency respectively.
Substituting Eq. (23) into the homogeneous formula of Eq. (19) and utilizing Eqs (20) and (21) we have
$\frac{d^{2} g(v)}{d v^{2}}+\frac{1}{v} \frac{d g(v)}{d v}+\left(K^{2}-\frac{1}{v^{2}}\right) g(v)=0, \quad a \leq v \leq b$
$(\lambda+2 G) \frac{d g(a)}{d v}+\frac{\lambda}{a} g(a)=0$
$(\lambda+2 G) \frac{d g(b)}{d v}+\frac{\lambda}{b} g(b)=0$
The generalized solution of Eq. (2.2.24) is given by

$$
g(v)=A J_{1}(k v)+B Y_{1}(k v)
$$

Following Eigen equation

$$
Y_{a} J_{b}-U_{b} J_{a}=0
$$

Where
$Y_{a}=K n Y_{1}^{1}(k n a)+d_{1} Y_{1}(k n a)$
$J_{a}=K n J_{1}^{1}(k n a)+d_{1} J_{1}(k n a)$
$Y_{b}=K n Y_{1}^{1}(k n b)+d_{2} Y_{1}(k n b)$
$J_{b}=K n J_{1}^{1}(k n b)+d_{2} J_{1}(k n b)$
and
$d_{1}=\frac{\lambda}{a(\lambda+2 G)}$
$d_{2}=\frac{\lambda}{b(\lambda+2 G)}$
Where $J n(K n v)$ and $Y n(K n v)$ are nth-order Bessel functions of the first and second kinds, respectively. In the preceding formula, $k n(n=1,2, \ldots, m)$ express a series of positive roots of the equation (28) and
$w m=k n c_{L}$
The corresponding characteristic function (27) reduces to

$$
g_{n}(V)=A_{n} Q_{1}\left(C_{n v}\right)
$$

Where

$$
Q_{1}\left(k_{n v}\right)=J_{1}\left(k_{n v}\right) Y_{a}-Y_{1}\left(k_{n v}\right) J a
$$

By means of the normalization properly of eigen functions, the constant an Eq. (36) is determined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A n=\frac{\int_{a}^{b} v g n(v) Q_{1}\left(k_{n v}\right) d v}{\int_{a}^{b} v Q_{1}^{2}\left(k_{n v}\right) d v} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define a finite Hankel transform of $g(v)$ as

$$
\left.\bar{g}\left(k_{n}\right)=\operatorname{Hanke} \text { g } g(v)\right]=\int_{a}^{b}\left(v g(v)-Q_{1\left(k_{n v}\right)}\right) d v
$$

Then the inverse of Eq. (39) is given by (24)

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(v)=\sum_{k_{n}} \frac{\bar{g}\left(k_{n}\right)}{F\left(k_{n}\right)} Q_{1}\left(k_{n v}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where
$F\left(k_{n}\right)=\int_{a}^{b} v Q_{1}^{2}\left(k_{n v}\right) d v=\frac{t}{\pi^{2} k_{n}^{2} J_{b}^{2}}\left\{\left\{d_{t}^{2}+k_{n}^{2}\left[1-\left(\frac{1}{k_{n b}}\right)^{2}\right]\right\} J_{a}^{2}\right.$

$$
-\left\{d_{t}^{2}+k_{n}^{2}\left[\left(\underline{2}\left(\frac{1}{k_{n b}}\right)^{2}\right]\right\} J_{b}^{2}\right\}
$$

By using Eq. (39) and performing a (figite Hankel transform on Eq. (19) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{2 J_{a}}{\pi J_{b}}\left[u_{d}^{1}(b)+d_{2} u d(a)\right]-\frac{2}{\pi}\left[u_{d}^{1}(a)+d_{1} u d(2(2)]\right)-k_{n}^{2} \bar{u} d\left(k_{n} t\right) \\
=\frac{1}{C_{L}^{2}}\left[\frac{d^{2} \bar{u} d\left(k_{n}, t\right)}{d t^{2}}+\frac{d^{2} \bar{u}_{s}\left(k_{2} t\right)}{d t^{2}}(30)\right] \tag{32}
\end{gather*}
$$

## Where

$\bar{u}_{s}\left(k_{n}, t\right)=\operatorname{Hanke}\left[u_{s}(v, t)\right]$
The first and second terms on the left-h3gnd side of Eq. (42) should be the homogeneous boundary conditions (20) and (21). Thus Eq. (42) (34pplifies to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-k_{n}^{2} \bar{u} d\left(k_{n}, t\right)=\frac{1}{C_{L}^{2}}\left[\frac{d^{2} \bar{u} d\left(k_{n}, t\right)}{d t^{2}}+\frac{d^{2} \bar{u}_{s}\left(k_{n}, t\right)}{d t^{2}}\right] \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Laplace transforms to Eq. (43) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}_{d}^{*}\left(k_{n}, p\right)=-\bar{u}_{s}^{*}\left(k_{n}, p\right)+\frac{k_{n}^{2} c_{L}^{2}}{k_{n}^{2} c_{L}^{2}+p^{2}} \bar{u}_{s}^{*}+\frac{p^{2}}{k_{n}^{2} c_{L}^{2}+p^{2}} \bar{u}_{0}+\frac{1}{k_{n}^{2} c_{L}^{2}+p^{2}} \bar{v}_{0} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where p is the Laplace transform parameter. Taking inverse Laplace transforms of Eq. (44), we have

$$
\bar{u}_{d}\left(k_{n}, t\right)=-\bar{u}_{s}\left(k_{n}, t\right)+k_{n} c_{L} \int_{0}^{t} \bar{u}_{s} \sin \left[\underset{(36)}{\left.k_{n} c_{L}(t-\tau)\right] d \tau} d \tau\right.
$$

$$
+\bar{u}_{0} \cos \left(k_{n} c_{L} t\right)+\frac{v_{0}}{k_{n} c_{L}} \sin \left(k_{n}(\overline{3} t)\right.
$$
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Using equation (40) and (41) and applying a finite inverse Hankel transform to Eq. (45), the solution $u_{d}(v, t)$ of Eq. (19) to (21) is expressed as
$u_{d}(v, t)=\sum_{k n} \frac{\bar{u}_{d}\left(k_{n}, t\right)}{F\left(k_{n}\right)} Q_{1}\left(k_{n} v\right)$
By substituting Eqs. (10) and (46) into Eqs. (12) the general solution of the basic equation (8) to (11) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(v, t)=\frac{E \alpha}{B(1-2 v) v} \int_{a}^{v} v T(v, t) d v+B_{1} v+\frac{B_{2}}{\sigma}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\bar{u} d\left(k_{n}, t\right)}{F(k n)} Q_{1}\left(k_{n} v\right) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (46) and (47) are the magneto thermodynamic stresses.

## Conclusion

In this paper, we have investigated the magneto thermodynamic stresses in a finite hollow cylinder with the help of the finite Hankel transform and Laplace transform techniques. The expressions that are obtained can be applied to the design of useful structures or machines in engineering application.
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